



# Adaptive Interventions: A Context-Sensitive, Dialogic Approach

Ben Kirshner and Joseph Polman

University of Colorado, Boulder



## ABSTRACT

Applied researchers, whether working with the framework of *design-based research* or *intervention science*, face a similar implementation challenge: practitioners who enact their programs typically do so in varied, context-specific ways. Although this variability is often seen as a problem for those who privilege fidelity and standardization, we argue for the advantages of researcher-practitioner collaborations that encourage local adaptation and ingenuity. We develop this argument for adaptive interventions by discussing two design-based research projects, Critical Civic Inquiry (CCI) and Science Literacy through Science Journalism (SciJourn). CCI and SciJourn aim to build curricula that will travel to new schools and districts, but not through standardization. This is a delicate combination: the program must be flexible enough to enable productive adaptation, without being so protean that practitioners' implementations lack coherence. We present two cases that show how project designers have sought to distinguish between invariant principles that define the intervention and heterogeneous practices that vary across sites. The cases also show how the model has improved when teachers can adapt it to their institutional context and when teachers and researchers establish social norms that encourage dialogic interactions.

## THEORETICAL PERSPECTIVES

### Cultural Historical Theory

Cultural historical psychologists have extended Vygotsky's notion of "tools" or "artifacts" by showing how tools carry cultural-historical information across generations and mediate human action. According to this view, tools vary in how flexibly they can be used, with some tools being more "univocal" and others more "dialogic." Univocal tool use is when one person (either physically present or not) imposes or dictates her viewpoint about how a tool should be used; dialogic use is when tools are flexibly adapted in context to fulfill people's goals. We aim to create conditions in SciJourn and CCI in which curricular and project tools are used in a dialogic manner.

### Community-Based Research

In community-based research university researchers form partnerships with practitioners and community members in order to collectively advance local social change goals. It rests on the belief that people without academic credentials are capable of producing useful knowledge, that research should be driven by efforts to solve problems experienced by non-specialists, and that academics have an important role to play by leveraging their training about how to carry out high quality research. The principles and practices of CBR provide useful guidance for the development of agile, adaptive interventions.



## SCIJOURN

### Background

The SciJourn project is based on the notion that practices of professional science journalists—such as making use of multiple, credible sources—relate to skills that scientifically literate adults could use fifteen years after they graduate from high school. SciJourn is a distributed activity system of school sites, an informal science institution, and a university, organized around the production of teen science news stories for a regional science print newspaper and online publication (SciJourn.org). Team members developed a set of science literacy and article writing standards within the project.

### Example 1: From writing to reading and writing

One of the notable ways that teachers in the process of implementation made the initiative their own was in stressing the reading of science news. The university team originally focused only on writing science news. In our first professional development workshop our university team used a read-aloud/think-aloud (RATA) technique common in elementary reading instruction to highlight the critical elements of science news texts. We did not initially advocate for use of RATAs in high school classrooms, but one teacher found them well-adapted to his time and curriculum constraints. In just a few minutes at the beginning or end of class, Mike used RATAs to model how he critically examines aspects of science news related to his curriculum, such as the credibility of sources, while assessing how important that news is to society. Mike shared how the RATAs helped him gain stronger rapport with students while fulfilling curriculum goals. More teachers utilized RATAs, and the university team has since encouraged forms of this activity as flexible means for teachers to model critical science literacy.



[www.scijournal.org](http://www.scijournal.org)

### Example 2: Sources of Information for Science News

"Writing science news" has also been transformed through implementation. Students working on stories routinely search for multiple credible sources on the Internet in the manner that originators of the project envisioned, learning a great deal about how to critically consume science information on the web, and think about the kind of expertise different sources offer. But in part because the university-based researchers feared interviews were not well-adapted to the regimented time and relative isolation of schools, we did not initially push student interviews as a method. We have since learned that interviews are possible and worth encouraging in schools—school nurses, maintenance staff and local stakeholders often help teens make sense of the science and its importance. Interviewing is often a memorable and transformative experience for teens. In addition, although the project initially focused on teens using secondary science information, youth participants have included primary data from social media surveys and primary investigations from their own school in their stories.

### Summary

SciJourn has been adapted in local contexts, enabled by norms for adaptation and dialogue within a distributed "ecosystem." Standards are framed as principles that many diverse instructional actions could fulfill. The professional development and research infrastructure facilitated the positioning of researchers and teachers as co-inquirers and co-developers of instruction. These factors enabled new patterns of activity to emerge and be taken up by others.


## CRITICAL CIVIC INQUIRY

### Background

CCI aims to foster transformative student voice, in which students from marginalized groups have sustained opportunities to ask critical questions about their schools and participate in efforts to improve them. CCI accomplishes this through a learning ecology that includes a year-long graduate seminar for teachers, teacher facilitation of CCI projects in which students identify, research, and take action to solve problems in their schools, and ongoing design-based research to understand and refine CCI curriculum. Evidence from two years of research suggests significant increases relative to a control group in academic engagement and civic efficacy, as well as positive impacts on school climate (Kirshner, Zion, and Hipolito-Delgado, 2013).

### Example 1: School Spirit at Jane Addams High

At Jane Addams students identified a lack of school spirit as a problem at their school. They wanted to build it through developing school colors and events such as the upcoming first inaugural prom, which they persuaded the school leadership to support. Without an understanding of context, students' excitement about these emblems of school spirit, a ubiquitous feature of American high school life, might appear unrelated to CCI's focus on transformative student voice. The meaning of the prom, however, was quite different at JAH, a school for pregnant or parenting mothers. According to the teacher we worked with, Ms. M., most of her students had experienced failure in their prior school experiences, and were now at Jane Addams because of truancy, expulsion, or weak school performance at the comprehensive high schools they previously attended. Their effort to establish this rite of passage could be understood as a desire to build a stronger sense of belonging in school and normalize more diverse educational paths for pregnant and parenting teens.



### Example 2: Educational Trajectories at Pathways Academy

Pathways Academy served students whose needs had not been met by comprehensive high schools. The school sought to build a relationally supportive environment where students felt cared for and known. When it came to selecting a problem at the school for their CCI project, the students had difficulty finding a topic that generated enthusiasm. Ms. F. came to view CCI's parameter that students focus on problems at their school as inappropriate for Pathways. She pointed out that most students at the school were grateful to have a second chance to be in school and they tended to speak with high regard for the community and care showed by teachers. In this context, it did not make sense for the CCI project to force students to manufacture a problem at Pathways. Instead, a more meaningful iteration of the project was for students to study and take action about problems in prior schools they had attended, which they did by writing letters to their former teachers and principals.

### Summary

School contexts shape the meaning of student voice projects. What may be anodyne in one setting has a transformative potential in another. CCI action research projects need parameters that can be adapted by students and teachers to their local context.

## IMPLICATIONS

SciJourn and CCI aim to build flexibility and dialogism into their design. Consistent with the DBIR principles, we believe that worthwhile ideas are more likely to be sustained when the core intervention is flexible and responsive to varied contexts, local actors understand the relevant principles, and researcher-practitioner networks facilitate ingenuity, critical reflection, and adaptation. Such interventions are marked by an ongoing commitment to iteration and dialogue.



CCI Student Forum 2012

## SELECTED REFERENCES

Coburn, C. E. (2003). Rethinking scale: Moving beyond numbers to deep and lasting change. *Educational Researcher*, 32(6), 3-12.  
Cole, M. (1996). *Cultural psychology: A once and future discipline*. Cambridge, MA: Belknap Press of Harvard University Press.  
Kirshner, B., Zion, S., Hipolito-Delgado, C. (2013). *Critical Civic Inquiry: An Ecological approach to engaging students from historically marginalized groups*. Poster presented at the American Educational Research Association.  
LeMahieu, P. (2011). *What we need in education is more integrity (and less fidelity) of implementation: R&D Ruminations*.  
Lotman, Y. M. (1988). Text within a text. *Soviet psychology*, 26 (3), pp. 32-51.  
McLaughlin, M.W. 1998. Listening and learning from the field: Tales of policy implementation and situated practice. In Hangreaves, A., Lieberman, A., Fullan, M.G. & Hopkins, D. (Eds.) *International handbook of educational change*. Dordrecht: Kluwer.  
Nicolopoulou, A., and Cole, M. (1993). The generation and transmission of shared knowledge in the culture of collaborative learning. In E. A. Forman, N. Minick, and C.A. Stone (Eds.), *Contexts for learning: Sociocultural dynamics in children's development* (pp. 283-314). Cambridge University Press: New York.  
Penuel, W. R., Fishman, B. J., Cheng, B. H., Sabelli, N. (2011). Organizing research and development at the intersection of learning, implementation, and design. *Educational Researcher*, 40(7), 331-337.  
Polman, J. L., Newman, A., Farrar, C., and Saul, E. W. (2012). Science journalism: Students learn lifelong science literacy skills by reporting the news. *The Science Teacher*, (January), 44-47.  
Rubin, B. C. (2011). *Making citizens: Transforming civic learning for diverse social studies classrooms* (1st ed.). Routledge.  
Saul, E. W., Kohnen, A., Newman, A., and Pearce, L. (2012). *Front-page science: Engaging teens in science literacy*. Arlington, VA: NSTA Press.  
Strand, K., Marullo, S., Cluttorf, N., Stoecker, R., & Donohue, P. (2003). *Community-based research and higher education*. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass.  
Wertsch, J. V. (1991). *Voices of the mind: A sociocultural approach to mediated action*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.  
Wertsch, J. V. (1998). *Mind as action*. New York: Oxford University Press.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS



SciJourn research is based upon work supported by the National Science Foundation under Grant Nos. DRL-0822354 & CISE-1217052

CCI research was supported through funding from the Spencer Foundation

