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## Why Think About Your Pitch to Practitioners?

- Their concerns and ways of expressing those concerns are likely to be different from yours.
- Like you, practitioners are busy.
- Your ability to build the bridge to those concerns "more than half way" is likely to enhance your likelihood of success.


## Who Are Practitioners, Anyway?

## Educators

Teachers
Informal educators
Youth development
specialists
Volunteers
Parents
Peer leaders/explainers

## Educational Leaders

Principals
Coaches
District curriculum
specialists
Principal supervisors
Museum education directors
Youth program managers

## NABC: A Way to Organize a Pitch

- Need
- The other person's need or concern that your design will address.
- Approach
- The angle or strategy you are bringing on how to address that person's need.
- Benefits
- The benefits to the other person or groups with whom they are concerned that will result from taking your strategy. Compensation is not a benefit.
- Competition (not part of today)
- The alternatives to your strategy that may be well known, popular, have been tried and failed, etc.


## Tool: Translating a Pitch

- Your task:
- Write out two versions of the "need" "approach" and "benefits":
- One for a research audience (e.g., funder)
- Another for an educational organization leader (e.g., museum education director, district leader, principal) or educator.
- Use only one sentence for each.
- Write them side by side, so we can together explore the differences
- Format: $\mathrm{NAB}(\mathrm{C})$


## Questions for Discussion

- What are the points of difference and overlap you notice in your statements?
- Does one feel more "authentic" than another? If so, why?
- Does writing the educator or education leader frames lead you to want to change how you frame your work for future colleagues at all? If so, how?

